

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 9867 2640/1G826US1 Allan Leslie Friedman 08/14/2001 09/930,104 7590 08/11/2003 **EXAMINER** Alphonso A. Collins Darby & Darby, P.C. WEST, JEFFREY R 805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2857

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W
	09/930,104	FRIEDMAN ET AL.	·
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey R. West	2857	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of typeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) My period will apply apply the confliction to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this con ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>26 March 2002</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	allowance except for formal runder Ex parte Quayle, 1935	natters, prosecution as to the C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is
Disposition of Claims	V		
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	itngrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	tte alestian mouiromont		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	vaminer		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E: 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[xammer. □ accepted or h\□ objected to b	ov the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s) be held in al	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed or	n is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are requir	red in reply to this Office action.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	Trotolgii priority avesti	•	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.		
—	cuments have been received	in Application No	
2. Certified copies of the priority do3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have b	een received in this National	Stage
application from the Internati	for a list of the certified copies	not received.	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).
a) The translation of the foreign langu	lage provisional application ha	as been received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	o-948) 5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ce of Informal Patent Application (P er:	o(s) TO-152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 4	

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-32, drawn to a method for detecting gunked and cracked ultrasonically tuned blades through impedance comparisons, classified in class 702, subclass 65.
 - Claims 33-45, drawn to methods for determining a damping level of a hand piece/blade in an ultrasonic system, classified in class 702, subclass 65.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are disclosed as separate embodiments not usable together as apparent by their corresponding method steps, Figures 7-8 for invention I and Figures 9-11 for invention II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species

of the claimed invention:

- I. The species best illustrated by claims 33-36.
- II. The species best illustrated by claims 37-40.
- III. The species best illustrated by claims 41-45.
- 4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is deemed generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is

Art Unit: 2857

the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Alphonso A. Collins on August 5, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. West whose telephone number is (703)308-1309. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00-4:30.



Art Unit: 2857

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (703)308-1677. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7382 for regular communications and (703)308-7382 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

jrw August 5, 2003

MARC S. HOPF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800